SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY DAIRY MANURE TECHNOLOGY FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT PANEL

TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION

1. REVIEWER AND TECHN	OLOGY INFORMATION	
1. REVIEWER AND TECHN	OLOGY INFORMATION	
Assigned Number:		
Name of Technology:		_
Organization Name:		
Technology Reviewer:		
Review Focus (e.g., air, water, soil,		
compost, economics, engineering, overall):		
overally.		
2. WHAT DOES THE TECH	NOLOGY DO?	
a. Purpose of technology:		
Does the technology address one of	r more manure management issue?	
Does it improve the environment?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Does it improve the environment?	Lifes Livo	
What media are improved?	r 🔲 Water 🔲 Soil	
What media are improved.	Water 50m	
b. In your opinion, how does the	e technology mitigate environmental impacts?	
☐ Pollution prevention (waste min	mization, recovery, "clean technology")	
	water, material, alternative fuel)	
	ement, add-on treatment, end-of-pipe treatment)	
Site remediation (groundwater,	• *	
Other (describe):		
If this differs from the Technology	eview Request Form, please explain:	
ii tiiis dilleis liotirtile Technology P	eview Request Form, please explain.	
c. Treatment, control, remediati	on, or production of environmental impacts.	
·	•	
	Air Quality	
Environmental Impact	Claimed Effect of Technology	Do you agree with this claim?
Ammonia (NH ₃)	no effect decrease increase don't know	yes no
Volatile organic compounds (VOC)	☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know	yes no
Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S)	☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know	yes no
Particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5)	☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know	☐ yes ☐ no
Oxides of nitrogen (NOx)	no effect decrease increase don't know	yes no
Carbon monoxide (CO)	no effect decrease increase don't know	yes no
Methane Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know ☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know	☐ yes ☐ no
	☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know ☐ no effect ☐ decrease ☐ increase ☐ don't know	│
	no effect decrease increase don't know	yes no
		<u> </u>

Wa	ter Quality, S	Soil Quality, and	Trace Elements	3	
Environmental Impact		Claimed Effect or			o you agree with this claim?
Nitrogen (N)	no effect	☐ decrease ☐	increase	n't know	yes no
Phosphorus (P)	no effect	decrease		n't know	yes no
Salts	no effect	decrease		n't know	yes no
Fecal coliform	no effect	decrease	increase 🔲 dor	n't know] yes □ no
Salmonella	no effect	decrease	increase 🗌 dor	n't know] yes 🔲 no
	no effect	decrease		n't know	yes 🗌 no
	no effect	decrease		n't know	yes no
	no effect	decrease		n't know	yes ∐ no
	no effect	decrease	increase dor	n't know	∫yes ∐ no
		Other Impacts			
Environmental Impact		Claimed Effect o	f Technology	D	o you agree with this claim?
Odors	no effect	decrease		n't know] yes □ no
	no effect	decrease		n't know] yes □ no
	no effect	decrease		n't know	l yes ∟ no
	no effect	decrease		n't know	jyes ∐no
	no effect	decrease	increase dor	n't know	」yes no
Demonstration (full or field relection Commercial application If this differs from the Technology 3. HOW DOES THE TECHN a. Are the scientific and engineer b. Do the underlying biological, commercial application	NOLOGY Wing terms defin	ORK? ned and clear?	☐ Yes ☐ N	No	
performance make sense?			mat govern the te	Somology 3 o	peration of
c. Are there any minimum source	erequirements	s necessary for th	ne technology to b	be feasible?	
4. TECHNOLOGY PERFOR	RMANCE				
What are the technical limits o stated performance?		hat must be follow	wed in order for th	he technology	y to achieve the

	v long can the technology l cified performance?	be expected to function under normal opera	ating conditions and still achieve the
c. Lev	el of performance and sup	porting data.	
		Table A: Air Quality	
		How much emissions or discharges are	
	Environmental Impact	removed/increased from the environment? (e.g., % reduction from baseline, emission concentration achieved)	Was data provided to support the claim?
□ N/A	Ammonia (NH₃)		 ☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Volatile organic compounds (VOC)		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Hydrogen sulfide		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Particulate matter		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Oxides of nitrogen		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Carbon monoxide		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Methane		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Carbon dioxide		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A			☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A			☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
claim(s)	h applicable impact in Tab . Where technical and/or p ess the nature and quality	le A, please describe the strengths and we performance information was provided to so of the data.	aknesses of the performance

	Table B	: Water Quality, Soil Quality, and Trace	Elements
	Environmental Impact	How much emissions or discharges are removed/increased from the environment? (e.g., % reduction from baseline, emission concentration achieved)	Was data provided to support the claim?
□ N/A	Nitrogen (N)		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Phosphorus (P)		 ☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Salts		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Fecal coliform		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A	Salmonella		☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A			No data available at this time Data provided Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A			☐ No data available at this time☐ Data provided☐ Data exists but is not yet available☐
□ N/A			☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A			☐ No data available at this time☐ Data provided☐ Data exists but is not yet available
claim(s)		e B, please describe the strengths and weaperformance information was provided to su of the data.	

			ther Impacts	
	Environmental Impact	How much emissions removed/increased from (e.g., % reduction from concentration	om the environment? m baseline, emission	Was data provided to support the claim?
□ N/A	Odors			 ☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A				☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A				☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A				☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
□ N/A				☐ No data available at this time ☐ Data provided ☐ Data exists but is not yet available
d. Ope	erating Experience.	s (including prototypes) t	on was provided to su	aknesses of the performance upport the claim(s), please describe ovided?
	,		of Contacts	
milk-pro		f Manure Management lush, scrape, vacuum)	Operational S	tatus Length of Operation
-				
<u> </u>				

What significant findings did you make as a result of your visit(s) and/or phone call(s)?
5. TECHNOLOGY COST
a. Was cost data provided? Yes (indicate below) No The following cost information was provided: design capacity of the pollution control equipment capital investment cost (including construction and installation costs) annual operation and maintenance costs estimated useful life of equipment products produced by treatment technology (that can offset the costs such as energy, fertilizer, and compost)
b. Was sufficient information given to evaluate the costs associated with this technology?
c. Are the economic assumptions realistic?
d. Are the installation costs reasonable?
e. Are the operating and maintenance costs reasonable? Yes No
f. If the technology yields saleable products, is the quantity of product and price of product assigned reasonable?
g. Were all assumptions made in determining the economics of the technology provided?
Additional comments:

6. OTHER INFORMATION
Check the box if the following information was provided. If the information adds to the evaluation, please describe.
Permits. Comments:
Certifications. Comments:
☐ Warranties and/or Bonds. Comments:
Operator Requirements. Comments:
Technical References. Comments:
Other (Please specify). Comments:
7. GENERAL COMMENTS
a. Does the technology make sense for dairies in California? Please discuss below:
b. What data gaps exist?
c. What additional research and/or verification work should be done?